

# Algebraic Number Theory

Dr. Anuj Jakhar  
Lectures 9-12

Indian Institute of Technology Bhilai

*anujjakhar@iitbhilai.ac.in*

June 25, 2021

# Factorisation into irreducible elements

---

**Definition.** Let  $R$  be an integral domain. Then we define the following.

---

- An element  $\alpha$  of  $R$  is said to be a *unit* of  $R$  if there exists  $\beta \in R$  such that  $\alpha\beta = 1$ .
  - Two elements  $\alpha, \beta$  are said to be *associates* if there exists a unit  $\epsilon$  of  $R$  such that  $\beta = \alpha\epsilon$ .
  - A non-zero non-unit element  $\alpha$  of  $R$  is said to be an *irreducible* element of  $R$  if whenever  $\alpha = \beta\gamma$  with  $\beta, \gamma \in R$ , then either  $\beta$  or  $\gamma$  is a unit.
  - A non-zero non-unit element  $\alpha$  of  $R$  is said to be a *prime* element of  $R$  if whenever  $\alpha|\beta\gamma$  with  $\beta, \gamma \in R$ , then either  $\alpha|\beta$  or  $\alpha|\gamma$ .
- 

Note: Every prime element is irreducible in an integral domain but the converse is not true in general.

## Definitions.

- An integral domain  $R$  is said to be a **factorization domain** if every non-zero non-unit element of  $R$  can be expressed as a product of finitely many irreducible elements of  $R$ .
  - A factorization domain  $R$  is called a **unique factorization domain (UFD)** if whenever  $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_s$  with every  $p_i, q_j$  irreducible in  $R$ , then  $r = s$  and there is a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, r\}$  such that  $p_i$  and  $q_{\sigma(i)}$  are associates for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ .
  - An integral domain  $R$  is said to be a **principal ideal domain** if every ideal of  $R$  is a principal ideal.
- 

- Every principal ideal domain is a unique factorization domain but the converse is not true in general.
  - However we shall prove in this chapter that the converse is true for the ring of algebraic integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$  of an algebraic number field  $K$ .
  - We shall also prove that each  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a factorization domain.
-

The following proposition characterizes the units of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  in terms of their norms.

---

**Proposition 1.** Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field. An element  $\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a unit if and only if  $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \pm 1$ .

---

**Remark:** Recall that for an element  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  by virtue of Theorem 16 of [1-4],

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = (N_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha))^{[K:\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)]}.$$

So by the above proposition implies that  $\alpha$  is a unit of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  if and only if

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \pm 1.$$

---

**Corollary 2.** Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field and  $\alpha$  be an element of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $|N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)|$  is a prime number, then  $\alpha$  is an irreducible element of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

---

If  $\alpha$  is as in the above corollary, then it will be proved in these lectures that  $\alpha$  is indeed a prime element of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

---

**Lemma 3.** If  $\alpha$  is a non-zero algebraic integer belonging to an algebraic number field  $K$ , then the element  $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)/\alpha$  is an algebraic integer in  $K$ .

---

The following theorem says that  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a factorization domain.

---

**Theorem 4.** Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field. Then any non-zero non-unit element  $\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  can be written as a product of finitely many irreducible elements of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

---

**Remark.** The ring  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of all algebraic integers in  $\mathbb{C}$  does not have an irreducible element, because for any  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\sqrt{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}$ . In particular  $\mathcal{A}$  is not a factorization domain.

---

---

**Corollary 5.** For an algebraic number field  $K$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_K$  has infinitely many non-associate irreducible elements.

---

**Note.**

- For an imaginary quadratic field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  with  $d$  a negative square free integer, Gauss proved that  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a UFD for  $d = -1, -2, -3, -7, -11, -19, -43, -67, -163$ .
  - He also conjectured that these are the only nine imaginary quadratic fields  $K$  for which  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a UFD. This conjecture remained open until 1966 when it was proved by Baker [Bak] and Stark [Sta].
  - Gauss also conjectured that there are infinitely many real quadratic fields whose ring of algebraic integers are unique factorization domains. This conjecture is neither proved nor disproved as yet.
-

Now we will study properties of ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . We first recall some definitions.

---

**Definition.** Let  $R$  be an integral domain with quotient field  $F$ . A subset  $I$  of  $F$  is called a fractional ideal of  $R$  if the following three conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $I$  is an additive subgroup of  $F$ .
  - (ii) For every  $a \in I$  and  $r \in R$ ,  $ar \in I$ .
  - (iii) There exists  $\alpha \neq 0$  in  $R$  such that  $\alpha I \subseteq R$ .
- 

**Note.** Every ideal of  $R$  is a fractional ideal of  $R$ , but the converse is not true. To be more specific, an ideal of  $R$  will sometimes be called an **integral ideal** of  $R$ .

---



---

**Notation.** If  $I, J$  are fractional ideals of  $R$ , then  $IJ$  is defined to be the subset of  $F$  consisting of all finite sums of the type  $\sum_i a_i b_i$  where  $a_i$ 's belong to  $I$  and  $b_i$ 's belong to  $J$ . Note that  $IJ$  is a fractional ideal of  $R$  and is called the product of  $I$  with  $J$ .

---

**Definition.** A non-zero fractional ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is called **invertible** if there exists a fractional ideal  $J$  of  $R$  such that  $IJ = R$ . Such an ideal  $J$  is called (the) **inverse** of  $I$ . One can check that if inverse of  $I$  exists, then it is unique. We shall denote the inverse of an ideal  $I$  by  $I^{-1}$ .

---

Note that if a fractional ideal  $I$  of an integral domain  $R$  with quotient field  $F$  is invertible, then

$$I^{-1} = \{\alpha \in F \mid \alpha I \subseteq R\}; \quad (1)$$

this holds because if  $I'$  denotes the ideal on the right hand side of (1) and  $J$  denotes the inverse of  $I$ , then clearly  $J \subseteq I'$  and  $I' = I'(IJ) = (I'I)J \subseteq RJ = J$ .

---

---

**Definition.** A fractional ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is said to be finitely generated if there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  in  $I$  such that  $I = Ra_1 + \dots + Ra_n$ , i.e., every  $\alpha \in I$  can be written as  $\alpha = r_1 a_1 + \dots + r_n a_n$  for some  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  in  $R$ ; in this situation  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  is called a system of generators of  $I$  and we sometimes express it by writing  $I = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ .

If a fractional ideal is generated by a single element, it is called a principal fractional ideal.

---

we prove the following slightly more general result of “every ideal of the ring of algebraic integers in an algebraic number field is finitely generated”.

---

**Theorem 6.** Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field of degree  $n$ . Any non-zero ideal  $I$  of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a free abelian group of rank  $n$ .

---

**Corollary 7.** Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field. Then every ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is finitely generated.

---

The class of commutative rings with identity in which every ideal is finitely generated is of fundamental importance in ring theory. Such rings are called *Noetherian rings* and are named after a great algebraist Emmy Noether who introduced this concept. We now state a basic proposition which gives two more equivalent conditions for a ring to be Noetherian.

---

### Proposition 8.

For a commutative ring  $R$  with identity, the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) Every ideal of  $R$  is finitely generated.
  - (ii) Every ascending chain of ideals of  $R$  is stationary i.e., if  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$  are ideals of  $R$ , then there exists  $m$  such that  $I_n = I_m$  for every  $n \geq m$ .
  - (iii) Every non-empty family  $S$  of ideals of  $R$  has a maximal element with respect to the inclusion relation i.e., there exist  $J \in S$  such that  $J$  is not properly contained in any member of  $S$ .
-

## Recall from algebra.

An ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \neq R$  of a ring  $R$  is called a *prime ideal* if whenever  $\alpha\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in R$ , then either  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}$  or  $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$ . An ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$  is called *maximal* if  $\mathfrak{m} \neq R$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is not properly contained in any ideal of  $R$  except  $R$ .

---

**Note.** It can easily be seen that every maximal ideal of a commutative ring with identity is a prime ideal but the converse is not true.

For example, consider  $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , then  $\langle 2 \rangle$  is a prime ideal of  $R$  but it is not maximal as  $\langle 2 \rangle \subsetneq \langle 2, X \rangle \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}[X]$ .

However the following theorem shows that the converse holds for the ring of algebraic integers of an algebraic number field.

---

**Theorem 9.** Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field. Then every non-zero prime ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is maximal.

---

---

Combining Corollary 9 of [1-4], Corollary 7 and the above theorem, we see that  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is an integrally closed domain which is Noetherian and in which every non-zero prime ideal is maximal. This leads to the following definition.

---

**Definition.** An integral domain  $R$  is called a *Dedekind domain* if  $R$  is integrally closed Noetherian domain in which every non-zero prime ideal is maximal.

---

**Note.** As pointed out above  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a Dedekind domain for each algebraic number field  $K$ . It can be easily seen that every principal ideal domain is a Dedekind domain.

---

We now prove a few results regarding the factorization of ideals in a Dedekind domain which will be needed in the sequel.

---

**Theorem 10.** Every non-zero fractional ideal of a Dedekind domain is invertible.

---

**Theorem 11.** Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain. Then every non-zero proper ideal of  $R$  can be written as a product of prime ideals of  $R$  in one and only one way except for the order of factors.

---

**Note.** The converse of the above two theorems is true.

- If every non-zero fractional ideal of an integral domain  $R$  is invertible, then  $R$  is a Dedekind domain.
- It is also known that in an integral domain  $R$ , if every non-zero proper ideal  $R$  can be written as a product of prime ideals of  $R$ , then  $R$  is a Dedekind domain; the uniqueness of factorization follows from existence.
- We shall not prove the above mentioned points as these are not needed in the sequel.

We first state three lemmas which are used in the proof of Theorems 10, 11.

---

**Lemma 12.** If  $R$  is a Noetherian domain and  $I$  is a non-zero ideal of  $R$  different from  $R$ , then there exist prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$  of  $R$  such that  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r \subseteq I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_r$ .

---

**Lemma 13.** If  $R$  is a Dedekind domain, then every non-zero prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$  is invertible.

---

**Lemma 14.** If  $R$  is a Dedekind domain, then every non-zero ideal of  $R$  except  $R$  is a product of prime ideals of  $R$ .

---

Now we give some corollaries of Theorems 10 and 11.

---

**Corollary 15.** The set of all non-zero fractional ideals of a Dedekind domain  $R$  is a group under multiplication of ideals. This group is free abelian generated by all non-zero prime ideals of  $R$ .

---

**Corollary 16.** A Dedekind domain which is a unique factorization domain is a principal ideal domain.

---



Theorem 11 leads to the notion of the greatest common divisor (gcd) of ideals in Dedekind domains. We first recall the notion of divisibility of ideals.

---

**Definition.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two ideals of an integral domain  $R$ . We say that  $A$  divides  $B$  and write  $A|B$  if there is an (integral) ideal  $C$  of  $R$  such that  $B = AC$ . Note that if  $A$  divides  $B$ , then  $B \subseteq A$ . We shall show soon that the converse is true in a Dedekind domain  $R$ . But the converse is false for a general integral domain  $R$  as the following example shows.

---

**Example.** Consider  $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , the ring of polynomials in indeterminate  $X$  with coefficients from  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $A = \langle 2, X \rangle$  and  $B = \langle 2 \rangle$  be ideals of  $R$ . We show that  $A \nmid B$ . If  $B = AC$  for some ideal  $C$  of  $R$ , then  $Xg(X)$  has even coefficients for each  $g(X) \in C$  which implies that  $g(X)$  has all even coefficients. Hence  $C \subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . Also  $C \supseteq B$ . So  $B = C = 2\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . Multiplying the equation  $B = AC$  on both sides by  $\langle 2 \rangle^{-1}$ , we see that  $R = A = \langle 2, X \rangle$  which is not so.

---

---

**Definition.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two non-zero ideals in an integral domain  $R$ . We say that an ideal  $D$  is the greatest common divisor (gcd) of  $A$  and  $B$  if  $D|A$ ,  $D|B$  and whenever an ideal  $C|A$  and  $C|B$ , then  $C|D$ . Similarly one can define the least common multiple (lcm) of ideals. Two ideals are said to be relatively prime or coprime if their gcd is  $R$ .

---

**Note.** gcd and lcm of two non-zero ideals always exist in a Dedekind domain in view of Theorem 11. However gcd or lcm of two non-zero elements may not exist in a Dedekind domain. Consider  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ . It can be easily seen that  $6, 3(1 + \sqrt{-5})$  do not have a gcd and  $2, 1 + \sqrt{-5}$  have no lcm.

---

---

**Theorem 17.** Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain. The following hold:

- (i) For fractional ideals  $A, B$  of  $R$ ,  $A \subseteq B$  if and only if  $A = BC$  for some integral ideal  $C$  of  $R$ .
- (ii) If  $A$  and  $B$  are relatively prime ideals in  $R$ , then  $AB = A \cap B$ .
- (iii) If  $A$  and  $B$  are ideals in  $R$ , then  $\gcd(A, B) = A + B$ .
- (iv) If  $A$  and  $B$  are ideals in  $R$ , then  $\text{lcm}(A, B) = A \cap B$ .

---

**Definition.** Let  $I$  be a non-zero ideal of  $R$  and  $a, b$  be elements of  $R$ . We say that  $a$  is congruent to  $b$  modulo  $I$  and write  $a \equiv b \pmod{I}$  if  $I|(a - b)R$ , i.e., if  $a - b \in I$ .

---

**Proposition 18.** Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain and  $I$  be a non-zero ideal of  $R$ . Let  $a, b \in R$  with  $a \neq 0$ . Then the congruence  $aX \equiv b \pmod{I}$  is solvable in  $R$  if and only if  $\gcd(aR, I) | bR$ , which is so if and only if  $b \in aR + I$ .

---

**Proof.** In view of Theorem 17,  $\gcd(aR, I) | bR$  if and only if  $aR + I \supseteq bR$ , which is so if and only if  $b \in aR + I$ . So it is enough to prove the equivalence of the first and the last assertions of the proposition, which can be easily verified.

---

**Corollary 19.** Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a non-zero prime ideal in a Dedekind domain  $R$ . Let  $a \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ , then for every natural number  $n$ , the congruence  $aX \equiv b \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^n}$  is solvable for each  $b$  belonging to  $R$ .

---

**Proof.** In view of the above proposition, it is enough to verify that  $\gcd(aR, \mathfrak{p}^n) = R$ . Clearly  $\gcd(aR, \mathfrak{p}^n) = \mathfrak{p}^j$  for some  $j, 0 \leq j \leq n$ . If  $j > 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{p}^j | aR$ . So  $\mathfrak{p}^j \supseteq aR$ . This implies that  $a \in \mathfrak{p}^j \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ , a contradiction. So  $j = 0$  and  $\gcd(aR, \mathfrak{p}^n) = R$ .

---

We shall use the following theorem which is named after a classical theorem of elementary number theory.

---

**Theorem 20 (Chinese Remainder Theorem).** Let  $I_1, \dots, I_m$  be ideals of a commutative ring  $R$  with identity such that  $I_i + I_j = R$  for  $i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq m$ . Then given  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  in  $R$ , there exists  $x \in R$  such that  $x \equiv x_j \pmod{I_j}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ .

---

**Note.** In Dedekind domains, Generalized Chinese Remainder Theorem holds which is as follows:

Let  $I_1, \dots, I_m$  be ideals of a Dedekind domain  $R$ , then for given  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  belonging to  $R$ , there exists  $x \in R$  such that  $x \equiv x_j \pmod{I_j}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  if and only if  $x_i - x_j \in I_i + I_j$  for each pair  $i, j, 1 \leq i, j \leq m$ .

---

The following corollary describes an important property of ideals of a Dedekind domain. It is stronger than saying that every non-zero ideal is invertible. **Corollary 21.** If  $I$  and  $J$  are non-zero ideals of a Dedekind domain  $R$ , then there exists an ideal  $A$  of  $R$  such that  $\gcd(A, IJ) = R$  and  $AI$  is principal.

---

The following corollary sharpens the fact that every Dedekind domain is Noetherian.

---

**Corollary 22.** Let  $I$  be an ideal of a Dedekind domain  $R$ . Given any non-zero  $x \in I$ , there exists  $y \in I$  such that  $I$  is the ideal generated by  $x$  and  $y$ .

---

## Norm of an ideal

We now introduce the notion of norm of non-zero ideals in a Dedekind domain.

---

**Definition.** Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain and  $I$  be a non-zero ideal in  $R$ . The number of elements of  $R/I$  is called the norm of  $I$  and is denoted by  $N(I)$ . A Dedekind domain  $R$  is said to have finite norm property if  $R/I$  is a finite ring for every non-zero ideal  $I$  of  $R$ .

---

**Example.** If  $K$  is an algebraic number field, then  $\mathcal{O}_K$  has finite norm property in view of Theorem 6 and Lemma 10.

---

**Example.** For any infinite field  $F$ , the ring  $F[X]$  of polynomials in an indeterminate  $X$  (which is a PID and hence a Dedekind domain) does not have finite norm property.

---

---

**Lemma 23.** Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain and  $I$  be a non-zero ideal in  $R$ . Write  $I = \prod_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{p}_i^{a_i}$  as a product of powers of distinct prime ideals, then the factor ring  $R/I$  is isomorphic to  $R/\mathfrak{p}_1^{a_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus R/\mathfrak{p}_r^{a_r}$ .

---

We shall now prove that norm is multiplicative.

---

**Lemma 24.** If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a non-zero prime ideal in a Dedekind domain  $R$ , then  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{p}^m/\mathfrak{p}^{m+1}$  as an additive group for  $m \geq 1$ .

---

**Theorem 25.** For a Dedekind domain  $R$  with finite norm property, the following hold :

- (i) If  $I, J$  are non-zero ideals of  $R$ , then  $N(IJ) = N(I)N(J)$ .
  - (ii) For a given positive integer  $t$ , the number of ideals  $I$  of  $R$  satisfying  $N(I) \leq t$  is finite.
-



---

**Definition.** Let  $R$  be Dedekind domain with finite norm property and  $I$  be a non-zero fractional ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that  $I = AB^{-1}$ , where  $A, B$  are (integral) ideals, we define  $N(I) = N(A)/N(B)$ .

This is well defined, because if  $I = AB^{-1} = A_1B_1^{-1}$ , then  $AB_1 = A_1B$  and hence  $N(A)N(B_1) = N(A_1)N(B)$ .

---

Using the notion of norm of ideals, we now prove the analogues of Fermat's little theorem and Euler's theorem for Dedekind domains with finite norm property.

---

**Generalized Fermat's Theorem.** Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain with finite norm property. If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a non-zero prime ideal in  $R$ , then  $x^{N(\mathfrak{p})} \equiv x \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  for every  $x$  belonging to  $R$ . Moreover  $N(\mathfrak{p})$  is the smallest positive integer amongst integers  $n \geq 2$  such that  $x^n \equiv x \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  for every  $x \in R$ .

---

---

**Generalized Euler's Theorem.** Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain with finite norm property. For any non-zero ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , let  $\phi(I)$  denote the number of invertible elements of the ring  $R/I$ . Then  $\phi(I) = N(I) \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|I} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{p})}\right)$ , where the product extends over all prime ideals dividing  $I$ .

---

**Lemma 26.** Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a non-zero prime ideal of a Dedekind domain  $R$ , then  $R/\mathfrak{p}^{n-1}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^n$  are isomorphic as additive groups for  $n \geq 2$ .

---

**Corollary 27.** If  $I$  and  $J$  are coprime ideals of a Dedekind domain  $R$ , then  $\phi(IJ) = \phi(I)\phi(J)$ .

---

The following proposition describes the norm of principal ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

---

**Proposition 27.** Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field. For any non-zero element  $\alpha$  of  $K$ ,  $N(\alpha\mathcal{O}_K) = |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)|$ .

---

By virtue of the fact that if norm of an ideal  $I$  is a prime number, then  $I$  is a prime ideal, the following corollary is an immediate consequence of the above proposition.

---

**Corollary 28.** Let  $\alpha$  be an algebraic integer belonging to an algebraic number field  $K$  such that  $|N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)|$  is a prime number, then  $\alpha$  is a prime element of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

---

In view of the above corollary, it can be easily seen that  $1 - \omega$  and  $1 + 2\omega$  are prime elements in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ , where  $\omega = (-1 + \sqrt{-3})/2$ .

---

## Examples.

---

1. Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$ . Then the element  $\alpha := 1 + \sqrt{-5}$  can not be a prime element of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

- For otherwise  $\alpha\mathcal{O}_K$  would be a prime ideal and hence its norm will be a prime power which is not so, because in view of Proposition 27,  $N(\alpha\mathcal{O}_K) = 6$ .
  - However  $\alpha$  is an irreducible element of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .
  - If  $\alpha = \beta\gamma$  with  $\beta, \gamma$  non-units of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , then either  $\beta$  or  $\gamma$  has norm 2.
  - So there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a^2 + 5b^2 = 2$  which is impossible.
-

2. We show that the ideal  $I = \langle 1 + \sqrt{-5}, 1 - \sqrt{-5} \rangle$  is a maximal ideal of the Dedekind domain  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  and is not principal.

- As  $(1 + \sqrt{-5}) \in I$ , so  $I$  divides  $\langle 1 + \sqrt{-5} \rangle$  and hence by virtue of Proposition 27,  $N(I)$  divides  $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1 + \sqrt{-5}) = 6$ , where  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$ .
- Similarly keeping in view that  $2 \in I$ , we see that  $N(I)$  divides 4. Hence  $N(I)$  divides 2.
- We will show that  $I \neq \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ . This will prove that  $N(I) = 2$  and consequently  $I$  will be a prime and hence maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ . If  $I = \mathcal{O}_K$ , then there exist  $a, b, c, d$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $1 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(a + b\sqrt{-5}) + (1 - \sqrt{-5})(c + d\sqrt{-5})$ .
- Separating the real and imaginary parts, the above equation gives

$$1 = a - 5b + c + 5d, \quad 0 = a + b - c + d.$$

- On adding these equations, we obtain  $1 = 2a - 4b + 6d$ , which leads to a contradiction. Hence  $I \neq \mathcal{O}_K$ .
- If  $I$  is a principal ideal generated by an element  $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{-5}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ , then by Proposition 27,  $2 = N(I) = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = a^2 + 5b^2$ , which is not possible.

---

3. Let  $I = \langle 3, 1 + 2\sqrt{-5} \rangle$  be the ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , where  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$ . As in the above example, it can be shown that  $I$  is a maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . We compute the inverse of the ideal  $I$ .

- In view of (1),

$$I^{-1} = \{\alpha \in K \mid \alpha I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K\} = \{\alpha \in K \mid 3\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K, (1+2\sqrt{-5})\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K\}.$$

- Let  $a + b\sqrt{-5}$  be an element of  $K$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ . It can be easily seen that  $3(a + b\sqrt{-5}) \in \mathcal{O}_K$  if and only if  $3a, 3b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Further  $(1 + 2\sqrt{-5})(a + b\sqrt{-5}) \in \mathcal{O}_K$  if and only if  $a - 10b, 2a + b$  are in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- On writing  $a = a'/3$  and  $b = b'/3$  with  $a', b' \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we see that  $a - 10b$  and  $2a + b$  are in  $\mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $a' \equiv b' \pmod{3}$ . So  $I^{-1} = \{(a' + b'\sqrt{-5})/3 \mid a', b' \in \mathbb{Z}, a' \equiv b' \pmod{3}\}$ .

## Exercises

- Determine the inverse of ideal  $\langle 1 + \sqrt{-5}, 1 - \sqrt{-5} \rangle$  of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , where  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$ .
- (True/False) If  $I$  is a non-zero ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  with  $N(I)$  a prime number, then  $I$  is a prime ideal. Justify your answer.
- Let  $I$  be a non-zero ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Prove that  $I$  contains  $N(I)$  and if  $m$  is the least positive integer in  $I$ , then  $m$  divides  $N(I)$ .
- Prove that the ideal  $\langle 1 + \sqrt{-5}, 1 - \sqrt{-5} \rangle$  is prime ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ .
- Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ , where  $\theta^3 - \theta - 1 = 0$ . Prove that the ideal  $\langle 23, 3 - \theta \rangle$  is a prime ideal in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .
- Find a solution of the congruence  $(\sqrt{-5})x \equiv 3 \pmod{I}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ , where  $I = \langle 3, 1 + \sqrt{-5} \rangle$ .
- (Generalized Wilson Theorem) Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a non-zero prime ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  and  $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s\}$  be a system of representatives of all non-zero distinct elements of  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ . Prove that 
$$\prod_{i=1}^s \xi_i \equiv -1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$